No. 29-51/2016(EFP) - Udyan-IV-Vol-II Directorate of horticulture Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2

From:

Director of Horticulture Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2

To:

1. Addl. Director of Horticulture, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P.

2. All Dy. Director of Horticulture,

in Himachal Pradesh.

Mar., 2017. Dated: Shimla-2

Subject: -

Revision of norms of "Evaluation Criteria of Fruit Trees in Himachal Pradesh".

Kindly find enclosed herewith photocopy of the letter No. HTC-G(11)-1/2016- dated 01-03-2017 received from the Principal Secretary (Hort.) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh vide which the approval has been accorded for the implementation of existing compensation formula i.e. "Evaluation Criteria of Fruit Trees in Himachal Pradesh" on the basis of present price index and the same shall be effective with immediate effect.

As you are aware that presently for the evaluation of fruit trees in Himachal Pradesh, a "dynamic and scientific formula" is being implemented by the Department of Horticulture for assessment of fruit trees in the event of acquisition of orchards / land for developmental purposes in the State. The photocopies of the "Evaluation Criteria of Fruit Trees in Himachal Pradesh" which came in to effective after revision in the year 2001 is being enclosed herewith at Annexure-I for ready reference and ensure that all Technical Officers viz. SMS/HDOs concerned in Head Quarter/ Development Blocks/ PCDOs could get the copy of the existing formula for ready reference for effective implementation of the same.

In this context, as per the approval of the Government vide letter referred above, the implementation of the existing compensation formula for better compensation to the affected farmers/ Orchardists in the State has to be exercised by the Department immediately at your level. The price index/ composite index values of Horticultural commodities/ inputs/other articles were obtained from the Economic Advisor to the Government of Himachal Pradesh vide letter No. PLG.E.S.H (B)F (2)-1/75-V-7080 dated 04-10-201 and the composite

index of the same is 201.30 for the year 2015-16.

Therefore, the final compensation shall be derived as under:

Only for example purpose:-

Evaluation of apple (Standard)fruit tree at 7 years of age as per "Evaluation Criteria of Fruit Trees in Himachal Pradesh" 2001 and on the basis of whole sale price index(Composite index) for year 2015-16 is given as under:

7 -years old Apple fruit tree(Standard) :-

- 1} Basic Value (BV) = Rs. 774/-
- 2} Net Present value (NPV) = 38 x (514*-318) x 0.25612

 $=38 \times 196 \times 0.25612$

NPV (Rs.) = 1907.58 or 1908

3} Final Compensation (NPV+BV) = Rs. 1908+774

=Rs. 2682per tree (according to the existing formula)

*Note:- The values in this example has been taken for all production stage on an average annual income & cost of maintenance/cultivation for one tree from the existing table(page No. 10-11). However, the values could be taken as increasing, constant, and decreasing from four production stages, depending upon the stage of production of that particular fruit trees at the time of evaluation.

The final compensation shall be calculated according to the whole sale price

index/composite index as under:-

e.g. During the year 2000-01, when the composite index was 85.5, the final compensation was provided @ Rs. 2682/- per tree as stated above and Similarly, the composite index during the year 2015-16 is 201.30

Therefore, the final compensation as per present Composite Index should be

= Rs. 2682X 2.354 (i.e. 201.30/85.5=2.354)

Final Compensation value =Rs.6314/- per tree

It is further informed that similar exercise shall also be undertaken for all kind of fruit trees included in the existing norms while formulating the evaluation as per evaluation criteria of fruit trees in H.P. In future also, the exercise shall be carried out on the basis of whole sale price index (Composite index) value annually/every year after obtaining the value of same from the Economic Advisor to the Government of Himachal Pradesh and accordingly the compensation values shall be derived every year for better compensating the losses caused to the farmers in the event of acquisition of their land/fruit trees by the Government or any other agencies in the State for developmental purposes.

You are therefore, directed to implement the existing compensation formula "Evaluation Criteria of Fruit Trees in Himachal Pradesh" for better compensation to the affected farmers/ Orchardists of the State on the basis of present price index.

Encls-14Nos

-98 -

(Dr. H.S Baweja) Director of Horticulture, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2 0177-2842390(Off)

E-mail id. horticul-hp@nic.in
Dated: Shimla-2, Mar., 2017.

Endst. No As above Copy of the above forwarded to

1. The Principal Secretary (Hort.) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh w.r.t letter referred above for favour of information please.

- 2. The Economic Advisor, Department of Economics & Statistics, Block No.38, SDA Complex, Kasumptti, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171009, w.r.t their letter referred above with the request to send the composite index for 2016-17 also and requested that the same may be sent during subsequent years in the beginning of the financial year if possible to this Directorate, in the benefit of the farming community please.
- 3. The Professor & Head, Department of Social Sciences, College of Forestry, Dr. Y.S Parmar University of Horticulture & Forestry, Nauni, Solan Himachal Pradesh for favour of information.
- 4. The Professor & Head, Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S Parmar University of Horticulture & Forestry, Nauni, Solan Himachal Pradesh with the request to supply the information w.r.t average bearing age of apple spur type fruit trees raised on clonal root stocks, as the same is having different bearing age and habit of growth and also requested to send the average bearing age of other kind of fruit crops left out/ have not been included in the existing Evaluation Criteria of Fruit Trees in H.P. in the benefit of farming community in the State at large please.

5. The Officer In-charge, Agro-Economic Research Centre, H.P. University, Shimla171005 for favour of information.

6. The Joint Director Horticulture, Directorate of Horticulture, Himachal Pradesh for information.

7 Horticulture Economist, Directorate of Horticulture, Himachal Pradesh for information.

(Dr. H.S Baweja)

Directorate of Horticulture,
Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2

mation v.r.t average Learing

TOLLS, as the same is

3.

No. HTC-G(11)-1/2016 Government of Himachal Pradesh

Department of Horticulture

From

Principal Secretary (Hort.) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh.

To

The Director of Horticulture Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2.

Dated: Shimla-2, the

Subject:

Revision of norms of "Evaluation Criteria of Fruit Trees

in Himachal Pradesh"

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No. 29-51/2016 (EFP)-Udyan-IV- dated 19th January, 2017 on the subject cited above and to accord approval for the implementation of the existing compensation formula "Evaluation Criteria of Fruit Trees in Himachal Fradesh" for better compensation of the affected farmers /Orchardists of the State on the basis of presence price index.

Yours faithfully,

Donch-P.

Joint Secretary (Hort.) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh Ph. No.: 0177-2622765

DE B.S. NES

Cokesh 3.03.2017

त्तरं वालग उतान No . ATC-G(9)-1/97 Covernment of Himschal Pradech Department of Hirticulture. From F-C-cum-Secretary(Norticulture) to the Covernment of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-2. The Director of Horticulture, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002 Dated: Shimla-2, tho 121 th July, 2001. Subject: Evaluation eritoria for fruit trees for the purposes land acquisition. Sir I am directed to refer to your letter No-29-51/97-Udyan-IV, dated 2nd March, 2001 on the subject cited above and to convey the approval of the Covernment of Himsohal Pradech for implementation of "Dynamic and Scientific Formula" for the evaluation of 34 different kinds of fruit trees under land acquisition as contained in the amexure-'A' Thenoloped. You are, therefore, reques 1 to take further necessary action to implement the above mentioned decision of the Covernment and send the implementation report to this Department within 10 days. Yours faithfully, Special Secretary (Hort.) to the Covernment of Himachal Fradesh. Dated: Shimla-2, the Endst. No. As above, Copy for information and necessary action to :-Additional Secretary (CAD-p) to the Covt. of H.P. Shimla-R Additional Secretary (Fin) to the Covt. of H.P. Shimle .R. The Director of Information & Public Relation, H-P. Shimla-2 for wide publication Glard file. Special Secretary (Hort.) to the Covernment of Himachal Fradesh

EVALUATION CRITERIA OF FRUIT TREES

IN

-HIMACHAL PRADESH



DEPARTMENT OF HORTICULTURE, HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA-2

Price De 15/

EVALUATION OF FRUIT TREES IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

INTRODUCTION

The matter regarding the evaluation of horticulture trees for the purpose of acquisition or other wise has been a matter of discussion in many quarters. The existing procedure of evaluation results in lower value of compensation leading to discontentment among horticulturists, whose orchards have been acquired by government for development purposes. The problem was realized by the government and a committee was constituted to look into the matter and provide remedial measures in the form of some appropriate formula and with realistic estimate of fruit yields, costs and returns. It was also envisaged to make the formula for calculating the present worth of fruit trees dynamic so that it may solve the problems in future as well.

NEED FOR REVISION OF EARLIER FORMULA.

The "Harbans Singh's" formula currently in use for calculating the value of orchards or individual fruit tree, was jointly prepared by Deputy Director of Horticulture, Himachal Pradesh and Deputy Director Horticulture (Plains) Punjab in the sixties and was approved by the Director of Agriculture, Himachal Pradesh and Director of Agriculture, Punjab for adoption for the assessment of fruit trees in these respective States. This formula assumes a constant income from the fruit tree throughout its bearing life. Actually, the fruit tree start from low productivity in terms of fruit production and the production increases with the passage of time till it starts. declining. This formula is not based on the calculation on any field survey and studies. These estimates generated four decades ago have lost their relevance in the present context and have become obsolete with the passage of time. There was a need to update these estimates of costs and returns for different age groups of various fruits. Secondly, a suitable method was to be developed which could generate present value of future incomes likely to be realized from orchards, which could form basis for adequately compensating the orchardists. Thus a revision of the old formula became necessary.

Lost as frenched life, Actually, the

METHOD AND MATERIAL

The data regarding initial cost of establishment, pre-bearing maintenance cost, maintenance cost in bearing stages, average annual income, average annual expenditure of 34 fruit crops has been prepared in the shape of schedules for individual fruits in accordance with the actual package and practices recommended by the scientists of Dr. Y. S. Parmar, University of Horticulture & Forestry, Nauni (Solan) and experience of field officers of the Department of Horticulture as under:—

(a) Data on costs:

Package of Practices approved by the Dr. Y.S. Parmar, University of Horticulture & Forestry, Nauni (Solan) and the experience of the field officers of Department of Horticulture.

(b) Farm gate price:

The farm gate prices are calculated by using the whole sale prices of some fruits prevailing in the market and taking in to account the marketing cost borne by the growers. The data on marketing costs have been obtained from the sources of Agro Economic Research Centre, H. P. University, Shimla and data available with the Department of Horticulture. The Department has also collected the information on farm gate prices of some fruits for which market prices were not available.

(c) The information relating to Yield per plant, Planting distance, Bearing Age and Bearing life of different fruits are based on the discussion between Scientists of Dr. Y. S. Parmar, University of Horticulture & Forestry, Nauni (Solan), Agro Economic Research Centre, H. P. University, Shimla and officers of Department of Horticulture Himachal Pradesh.

EVALUATION PROCEDURE

The fruit trees being perennial in nature and having long gestation period, can be divided into two categories viz. "non bearing" and "fruit bearing" trees. This categorization is important for tree

valuation as well. In the first stage only expenditure has been incurred whereas in other returns are also realized. Due to this fact the evaluation procedure has to be different for each category and have, therefore, been taken up separately.

NON BEARING STAGE

The length of this stage differs from fruit to fruit. The costs incurred during this stage can be categorized into (i) non-recurring or initial cost of plantation, and (ii) recurring cost or maintenance cost of trees.

- Non-recurring cost. Includes the costs incurred in all the 1. preliminary operations involved in planting. This takes into account cost of labour for preparation of site including land development and lay out, digging and filling of pits, cost of materials like farms yard manure (FYM), fertilizer, insecticides & pesticides, plant material, irrigation, actual planting and staking. Any transportation charges for these activities/materials also included in total non-recurring cost.
- Recurring cost. Includes costs incurred on hoeing, 2. weeding, manuring/fertilizing, irrigation, mulching, training, pruning, basin making, plant protection, any treatment for improvement of yield and fruit quality, watch and ward, land rent, interest on accumulated establishment cost etc. required to maintain the orchard in good shape and quality.

After incorporating the above costs the following formula will determine the value of tree at the time of evaluation.

Value of tree Value of Age of Average annual during non recurring recurring pre-bearing = expenditure on + L tree expenditure initial cost of during pre-(Basic Value) plantation bearing period.

had I valorate and The illustration pertaining to use of formula has been presented in Annexure-III. (Problem-I). ien, netterl sing official acted of anyther execution obergue for

vecimina sume masses com Liences da respire.

ond trung great cy, who was true would, and rolly therest of

(b) FRUIT BEARING STAGE

The evaluation of fruit bearing tree becomes more complex as many more factors come to play their role. The evaluation of fruit bearing tree can be broken down into two parts, viz. basic value of tree, which is a result of culmination of expenditure during non-bearing stage and the value for remaining years for which the tree has been bearing fruits. The cost involved in case of fruit bearing tree would include the non-recurring cost and the recurring cost as mentioned in case of non-bearing trees. But in this case the recurring cost would also include management and supervision. The value of fuel wood of the tree will not form the part of value of the compensation as this is a result of tree growth during pre-bearing and fruit bearing stages for which a provision of adequate compensation has been created. Hence, there is no rationale in giving compensation for fuel wood. However trees having timber value also shall be evaluated by the Forest Department for payment of compensation.

The details of valuation of a bearing tree is as follows:

The basic value of the fruit tree is to be calculated by using following formula.

Basic Non recurring Length of Average annual value = expenditure + { pre-bearing × recurring expenditure incurred during pre-bearing period. }

The basic value of a tree can be defined as the total expenditure that has to be incurred on a particular tree during its whole pre-bearing age. The basic value of different fruit trees have already been calculated and presented in Annexure-I, Col-10.

So far the task has been comparatively simpler. The evaluation procedure becomes complicated as soon as the tree enters bearing stage. Number of factors now enter the calculation process. These have been elicited below:—

(c) REMAINING YEARS IN BEARING PERIOD

This is an important factor determining the value of compensation. By acquisition of tree the owner is deprived of income for remaining years of economic life for which he has to be compensated. Thus, next step after determining the basic value would be to find out remaining number of economic bearing life of fruit tree. This is determined by the following formula.

The length of pre-bearing period and average economic bearing period for various fruits under consideration have been presented in Annexrue-I, Col. 7 & 11 respectively. The illustration pertaining to the use of formula has been presented in Annexrue-III. (Problem-2)

In order to quantify the amount of compensation for acquisition of fruit tree two more concepts need classification.

VALUES OF AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME AND EXPENDITURE

For the calculation of Net Present Value (NPV) of likely earnings for remaining bearing life weighted average of annual income and expenditure of all bearing age has been taken into account. Average annual income has been calculated by multiplying the average yield with the farm gate price of the fruit.

DISCOUNTING RATE

After careful consideration it was found appropriate to adopt and recommend 10% as the discounting rate for calculating the present value of money. This is in consonance with the current interest rate on long term deposits and will also give some advantage to the tree owners. The average discount rate (10 percent per annum) for different years has been presented in Annexure-II for ready reference.

The spanning of control sales in the land of the sales of the land of the l

The fruit trees have also been categorized into four stages based on the trend in yields, which in turn depends upon the age of the tree. The first stage is that of pre-bearing age when no fruit are born. Next, when the tree comes into bearing age, the fruit yield goes on increasing year after year for some years. This stage has been termed as stage of increasing yields. Thereafter, the yield stabilizes for some period and has been referred as plateau production period or stage of constant fruit yields. Finally, the fruit yield starts falling and keeps on getting lower and lower every year till the economic bearing stage is finished. This is stage @ of declining yields. Due to different trends in productivities in different age group of trees, the returns are at variance among these groups. The average return per year for each such group of fruits under consideration have been worked out and presented and tabulated in Annexrure-I. The average yield has been taken for calculating the Net Present Value. The amount of compensation will depend upon the number of production years left in a particular and subsequent group.

The amount thus, worked out for future crops needs to be discounted so as to find out its present value. For this, the average discount factor @ 10% per annum has been used as elaborated above. Hence, the present value of future income, likely to be earned will

Net Present Value Remaining Average Average (NPV) of likely = number of × annual — annual expenditure × Average discount remaining factor bearing life bearing life

suppose service out that the teacher in parties are The illustration pertaining to use of formula has been presented in Annexure-III, (Problem-2) Constitution of the Police Country of the

A state of the same of the sam FINAL COMPENSATION

AND THE SECTION AND THE SECTION OF The formula showing the final assessment of a fruit tree in bearing stage is as follows: 6

Value of final Basic value of the tree + earning for remaining bearing life of tree.

This evaluation is subject to following conditions:

- 1. Those trees which have already completed the economic bearing period will have to be evaluated only for fuel (or timber, if any) wood, and this work relates to the Forest Department.
- 2. The present tree evaluation is entirely independent of the evaluation of various other structures such as land, fence, farm house, wells other irrigation structures, etc. These structures need to be evaluated by the Revenue or Public Works Department authorities.
- 3. Crops like vegetables, commercial flowers, spices and commercial medicinal plants should not be considered for evaluation as these crops can very well be harvested before the land acquisition process is over.
- 4. All other trees which do not have any commercial market value for their produce but for their aesthetic sense should only be considered for timber/fire wood which should be got evaluated by the Forest Department authorities.

those it is which that it read, to make an face colored to the face of the fac

The second of th

Work G. Mariah L. Harriste,

evaluation de frasc d'als con vory West de fins l'écrad-besore

And the control of th

State of the attraction from a

SERRELEGICATION OF RESERVE AND AND ALL LINES.

r (Majala) 1 dir - Silandari 1 dirangan alah

Basic Values of Fruit Trees in Himachal Pradesh

1 1 A		Minimum Permissible planting distance		Maximum permissible number of plants		period (Yrs.)	non-recurring expenditure	or recurring expen- diture during pre-bearing period	Basic Value of a tree	*Average bearing age of tree (Yrs.)
1 A		Feet	Meter	Acre	Hectare	Years	Rupees	Rupees	Rupees	Years
1 A	2	3	4	5.	, 6	7	8	9	10	11 44
	Almond (Dry)	-12	3.66.	250	625	4	38	90.84	401	30 /
·2/ A	Apple (standard)	18	5.49	134 .	335-~	6 2	39	122.46	774~	45 ~
	Apricot	18.	5.49	134	335	5	38	99.44	5351	300
	Anola	25	7.62	70	175	6	39.5	82.76	536	45
	Ber	20	6.1	110	275	4	30.5	60.51	273	45
The second second	Cherry	20	6.1	110	275	. 6	46	105.56	679	40
	ig	20	6.1	110	275	5	31.5	48.26	273	20
-	Galgal	18	5.49	134	335	4 .	22.5	68.49	296	30
	Grape Fruit	20	6.1	110	- 275	5	38.5	67.00	374	25
	Grapes	8	2.49	435	1088	2	41	67.00	175	40
-		20	1 4	10.00	The state of the latest and the late		10000	01.00	1.1.1	4411
12 Jac	Guava	20	6.1	110	275	4	38.5	69.74	317	30

Cont.

1	1 0			-		****		Milan	Annexur	e-I Cont
-13	2	3 .	4	5 -	6	7	8	9	10	11
14	Jammun	35	10.67	35	. 88	9	25	69.24	648	- 60 -
15	Kagzi Lime Kiwi Fruit	15	4.57	194	485	4	34.5	65.07	295	30
16	THE PARTY OF THE P	10	3	436	1090	3.	-45 -	76.91	276 .	20
1:7	Lemon	15	4.57	194	485 -	4	38.5	65.80	302	20
	Litchi	25	7.62	-70	175	-6	48	108,16	697	50
18	Loquat	20	6.1	110	275	- 6	31.5	70.55	455	40
19	Malta(Sweet Orange)	18 .	5.49	134	335	5	37.5	100.84	542	25
20	Mango (Grafted)	20	6.1	110	275	6	45	112.86	722	50
21	Mango (Seedling)	35	10.67	35	88	9	23	61.64	578	60
22	Olive	20	6.1	110	275	4	36	77.31	345	60.
23	Peach	15	4.57	160	400	4	38	84.85	377	20
24	Pear (Gritty)	18	5.49	134	335	6	31	68.51	-	1
425	Pear (Soft)	18	5.49	134	335	7~	41	86.40	442	50,
26	Pecannut	25;	7.62	70	175	11	38	The same of the sa	646v	45 3
27	Persimon	18	5.49	134	335	5		52.62	617	50 _M
28	Plum	18	5.49	134	335		31	69.17	377	35
29	Pomegranate	15	4.57	10,000		4	37	86.04	381	254
30	Pumelo			194	485	4	31	69.18	308	30
31		18	5.49	134	335	4	37.5	70.23	318	25
-	Sangtra	18	5.49	134	335	. 5	37.5	100.84	542	25
32	Sweet Lime	18	5.49	134	335	4	35	71.00	319	20
33	Walnut (Grafted)	. 25	7.62	7.0	175	7	38	102.62	756	7.75
34	Walnut(Seedling)	35 .	10.67	35	88	14	30	100.65	1439	100.

Cont.

Sr.No	. Name of fruit						Average production per tree of the following production stages (Kas.)				Average annual Income obtained from one tree of the following production stages (Rs.)			
		Increasing				Increasing		Decreasing	-	price	Increasing		Decreasing	
			Production		Production	increasing	Constant	Production	A4	Rs. per Kg	production		Production	
	1	2 12			15	16	17	18			San	22		
-	The second secon	169		224			The second liverage of		-	-				
	1 Almond 2' Apple(standard)	248					- u			AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO	-			- 51/
	3 Apricot	181	216							-				
	4 Anola	113	137								The second secon			
	5 Ber	80	THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA	-					LFS	-	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF			
	6 Cherry	176							- 00					
	7 Fig	87							-		and the same of th			
	8 Galgal	71									A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR			
	9 Grape Fruit	141	158											
	0 Grapes	107								2			-	
	1 Guava	124				and the second second	100		-	-	-			
	2 Jack Fruit	68								-				
	3 Jammun ·	62												
	4 Kaozi Lime	111								-				
	5 Kiwi Fruit	151								-				
	6 Lemon	138												
	7 Litchi	208	Control of the last							-	-			0 63
	8 Loquat	101								The state of the s	and the second second second		or width broad Buildings	
	9 Malta/Sweet Orang									The state of the s	7 120			
	20 Mango (Grafted)	199	The second secon						-		B 25			
	21 Mango (Seedling)	51			december 1		The second second second second				3 13			
	22 Olive	105								9 1			the second second second second	
	23 Peach	185								The same of the sa	7 16			
	24 Pear (Gritty)	84									2 9			
	B Pear (Soft)	158	The state of the s		to be seen					9	7 / 1 17		1 24	
	26 Pecannut	116								9 2				
	27 Persimon	103			THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 I						6 12		0 24	
	26 Plum	, 15									6 - 13	8 27	5 22	0 22
	29 Pomegranate	117							-		2 12		0 14	4 18
	20 Pumelo	143										0 . 25	0 17	0 19
	31 Sangtra	200									7 17	5 35	0 28	0 26
	32 Sweet Lime	170				The state of the s			-	19	6 10	8 30	0 .15	0 23
10 % Properties	33 watnut (Grafted)	113									0 45			
-	341Walnut(Seedling)	85				The second second second					0 25		0 50	0 49

Note: Average bearing age of tree "indicates age of the plant upto which it will give economic yield.

ANNEXURE-II

DISCOUNT FACTOR@ 10% PER ANNUM FOR DIFFERENT YEARS AND AVERAGE DISCOUNT FACTOR

Year	Discount	Year	Discount	Year	Average	Year	Average
	Factor		Factor		Discount		Discount
		- 1			Factor		Factor
. 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 -	0.90909	26	0.08391	1,	0.90909	26	0.35234
2 .	0.82645	27	0.07628	2	0.86777	27	0.34212
3	0.75132	28	0.06934	3	0.82895	28	0.33238
4	0.68301	29	0.06304	4	0.79247	29	0.32309
5	0.62092	30	0.05731	5	0.75816	30	0.31423
6	0.56447	31	0.05210	6	0.72588	31	0.30577
7 ~	0.51316	32 ·	0.04736	7.7	0.69549	. 32	0.29770
8	0.46651	33	0.04306	8	0.66687	335	0.28998
9.	0.42410	34	. 0.03914	9	0.63989	34	0.28261
10	0.38554	35	0.03558	10	0.61446	35	0.27555
11	0.35049	36	0.03235	11	0.59046	36	0.26879
12	0.31863	37	0.02941	12	0.56781	37	0.26232
13	0.28966	38	0.02674	13	0.54641	38	0.25612
14	0.26333	39	0.02430	14	0.52619	39	0.25018
15	0.23939	40	0.02210	15	0.50707	40	0.24448

* mays. Benefit at 74/2, 000

Cont.

113		166
1	1	7
(ı	-

	DP	* 16 * * -	D&		ADF	Anne	exure-II Cont.
1	2	3	4.	5	6	7	18
16	. 0.21763	41	0.02009	16	0.48898	41	0.23900
17	0.19785	42	0.01826	17	0.47186	42	0.23375
18	0.17986	43	0.01660	18	0.45563	43	0.22870
19	0.16351	44	0.01509	19	0.44026	44 ".	0.22384
20	0.14864	45	0.01372	20	0.42568	45	0.21917
21	0.13513	46	0.01247	21	0.41184	46	0.21468
22	0.12285	47	0.01134	22	0.39871	47	0.21035
23	0.11168	48	0.01031	23	0.38623	48	0.20619
24	0.10153	49	0.00937	24	0.37436	49	0.20217
25	0.09230	50	0.00852	25	0.36308	50	0.19830

EXAMPLES OF TREE EVALUATION

ANNEXRURE-III

the purpose of compensation. Problem No. 1: Evaluation of a 5 years old Mango (grafted tree for

the following formula will be used for Evaluation of above age of tree. years and hence the said tree falls in the pre-bearing stage. Therefore Solution: The pre-bearing period for the mango (grafted) tree is 6

compensation = Value of non recurring + expenditure on initial cost of Value of Age of recurring expenditure Average annual bearing period during the pre-

will be as follows:

By referring the basic value given in Annexure 1, the compensation

plantation

Compensation: $45 + (5 \times 112.86) = \text{Rs.} 609.30 \text{ P}$

(grafted) tree will be Rs. 609.30 p Therefore the value of compensation for the said 5 years old mango

Problem No. 2: Evaluation of 12 year age of Pear tree.

above mentioned tree falls in increasing production stage of bearing plants Solution: The length of pre-bearing period is 7 years and hence, the

The basic value of the pear tree is Rs. 646/- (Annexure-I)

(a)

The compensation for the remaining number of years in the economic bearing period will be as follows :-

tree at the time of evaluation Remaining bearing life = Average bearing age — Age of the

12

Refer to basic values given in Annexure— 1 by putting values in the above formula the remaining bearing life will be = 45-12=33 years.

(c) Net present Value Remaining Nos. Average Average Average of likely earnings = of bearing life × Average annual — annual × discount for remaining bearing life.

Refer to basic value in Annexrue-1 putting values in the formula, the NPV of remaining bearing life will be.

$$= 33 \times (277-194) \times 0.28998 =$$
Rs. 794/-

(d) Final compensation:

By putting the values calculated above, the value of final compensation will be :--

$$a + c = Rs. 646 + 794 = 1440/-$$

राजकीय मुद्रणालय डि० च०, शिनला—1356—वागनानी—2001/11—10—2001—500.